

1 **Reproductive impacts of endocrine disrupting chemicals on wildlife species:**
2 **implications for conservation of endangered species**

3
4 Christopher W. Tubbs^{1*} and Caitlin E. McDonough²

5
6 ¹San Diego Zoo Global, Institute for Conservation Research, 15600 San Pasqual Valley Road,
7 Escondido, CA 92027, ctubbs@sandiegozoo.org

8
9 ²Center for Reproductive Evolution, Department of Biology, Syracuse University, 107 College
10 Place, Syracuse, NY 13244, cemcdono@syr.edu

11
12 ***Corresponding author:** Christopher W. Tubbs, San Diego Zoo Global Institute for
13 Conservation Research, 15600 San Pasqual Valley Road, Escondido, CA 92027, phone: 760-
14 291-5422, fax: 760-291-5428, ctubbs@sandiegozoo.org

15
16 **Disclosure statement:** The authors have nothing to disclose.

17
18 **Keywords:** endocrine disrupting chemicals, wildlife, endangered species

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 **Abstract**

38 Wildlife have proven valuable to our understanding of the potential effects of endocrine
39 disrupting chemicals (EDCs) on human health by contributing considerably to our understanding
40 of the mechanisms and consequences of EDC exposure. Yet the threats EDCs present to
41 populations of wildlife species themselves are significant; particularly for endangered species
42 whose existence is vulnerable to any reproductive perturbation. However, few studies address the
43 threats EDCs pose to endangered species due to challenges associated with their study. Here, we
44 highlight those barriers and review the available literature concerning EDC effects on
45 endangered species. Drawing from other investigations in non-threatened wildlife species, we
46 highlight opportunities for new approaches to advance our understanding and potentially
47 mitigate the effects of EDCs on endangered species to enhance their fertility.

48

49 **Introduction**

50 The potential implications of living life in a contaminated world were largely made
51 known with the publication of Rachel Carson's *Silent Spring* in 1962 (1). Her predictions about
52 the effects of pesticides on wildlife, livestock, pets and humans captured the public's attention
53 and played a central role in the rise of modern environmentalism. One example of *Silent Spring's*
54 influence was the banning of the pesticide dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), which was a
55 direct result of the public outcry galvanized by the book. Carson's writings also helped spur the
56 development of the field of environmental toxicology as a whole (2). Today, this field is wide-
57 ranging, complex and multi-faceted, reflecting the diverse biological consequences of
58 contaminant exposure. In some cases, contaminant exposure leads to easily recognizable
59 outcomes, such as mass mortality events, individuals exhibiting physical deformities, or

60 neurological impairment. On the other hand, many sub-lethal effects can be more difficult to
61 identify, but nevertheless can have profound consequences for a species from the individual to
62 population level.

63 Similar to how *Silent Spring* stimulated public awareness of the broad dangers associated
64 with environmental chemicals, *Our Stolen Future* by Colburn et al. (1997) (3) emphasized the
65 risks of exposure to chemicals in the environment known as endocrine disrupting chemicals
66 (EDCs). In their book, the authors illustrate the capacity of these chemicals to interact with an
67 organism's endocrine system and disrupt normal endocrine function, sexual development and
68 ultimately reproduction. By weaving together examples of EDC-induced reproductive anomalies
69 in humans, laboratory and livestock species, the book makes a compelling case for the global
70 nature of the threats EDCs pose. However, a powerful theme of *Our Stolen Future's* narrative is
71 how lessons learned from wildlife inform a basic understanding of EDC mechanisms of action,
72 how EDCs affect humans and why levels of EDCs in the environment should be reduced.

73

74 **Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals (EDCs) and Wildlife**

75 In 1991, well before the publication of *Our Stolen Future*, scientists at the Wingspread
76 work session in Racine, Wisconsin formally recognized the potential of environmental chemicals
77 to disrupt “the endocrine systems of fish, wildlife, and humans” (4). Although Wingspread is
78 often cited in the literature as the origin of research on EDCs, there were sufficient existing data
79 for the attendees to conclude; 1) adequate numbers and quantities of potential EDCs were present
80 in the environment; 2) wildlife populations were already being impacted by man-made (and
81 natural) environmental chemicals and 3) increased and improved screening of potential EDCs
82 was needed to mitigate future effects of EDCs on both wildlife and humans (4). Soon thereafter

83 in 1996, the Food Quality Protection Act was passed and the Safe Drinking Water Act was
84 amended, mandating that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) develop assays to
85 evaluate the potential of suspected EDCs to interfere with human endocrine function. This led to
86 the development of the USEPA Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program (EDSP). As one
87 example of the USEPA-EDSP's efforts, data demonstrating the estrogenic and anti-estrogenic
88 activity are currently available for more than 1,800 chemicals (5). In addition, 52 chemicals have
89 been screened in the USEPA-EDSP's Tier 1 Screening Program, which assesses interactions
90 with estrogen, androgen, thyroid and steroidogenic pathways using validated *in vivo* and *in vitro*
91 assays (6). Today, due in large part to initial studies conducted in sentinel wildlife species and
92 programs like the USEPA-EDSP, the potential role of EDCs in human disease is recognized
93 globally (7-9).

94 For wildlife, there is an extensive body of literature with examples of how EDCs disrupt
95 nearly all hormone axes in both invertebrate and vertebrate species. A thorough synopsis of those
96 studies is beyond the scope of this of this review. Here, we choose to concentrate on how EDCs
97 interfere with reproduction in vertebrates, given the diversity of species sharing highly conserved
98 mechanisms of endocrine function. A number of excellent reviews are already available on this
99 topic (10-13). Therefore, this review will instead place particular emphasis on methods of
100 assessing EDC effects on species that are threatened or endangered whose survival requires
101 uncompromised reproductive function. Examining the effects of EDC exposure on species of
102 conservation concern, with the goal of increasing fertility and population sustainability, is a
103 nascent sub-discipline within the field of environmental toxicology. Consequently, we will
104 discuss common mechanisms of EDC action in better-studied wildlife, as well as the few
105 examples how reproductive effects of EDC exposure have been specifically evaluated in

106 threatened and endangered species. Finally, new technologies are providing fascinating insight
107 into the mechanisms of EDC-mediated impairment of reproduction in humans, traditional
108 laboratory species and wildlife. Thus, we will explore their potential applications to the study of
109 EDC effects on the reproductive health threatened and endangered species.

110

111 **Mechanisms of EDC action**

112 The hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis is the primary signal producer and
113 regulator of reproductive function in vertebrates (Fig. 1). Regulation is accomplished by the
114 integration of environmental signals to synchronize the complex network of endocrine system
115 processes, including hormone synthesis, activity (i.e., availability, signaling and transformation)
116 and excretion. These processes rely on the coordination of enzymes, binding proteins and
117 receptors, which represent the myriad of potential and known targets of EDCs. Although the
118 evolutionary conservation of HPG control of reproduction in vertebrates suggests that EDCs will
119 affect different species similarly, specific characteristics of endocrine function (e.g., the presence
120 of multiple steroid receptor isoforms found in teleosts) dictate that EDCs can indeed elicit
121 species-specific effects. Here, an overview of different mechanisms of endocrine disruption and
122 how these physiological processes ultimately affect reproduction in wildlife species is provided.

123 There is growing evidence that neuroendocrine tissues are specific targets of EDCs (9,
124 14). Given the numerous processes that neuroendocrine tissues regulate, the mechanisms by
125 which EDC-mediated effects on neuroendocrine function can impair reproduction are necessarily
126 diverse. Within the hypothalamus, organochlorine pesticides (OCPs), phytochemicals and
127 bisphenol A (BPA) have been shown to affect gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH) mRNA
128 expression, GnRH responsiveness and morphology of GnRH expressing neurons in both rodents

129 and fish (15-17). These EDCs, in addition to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), have also been
130 shown to alter estrogen receptor expression within the hypothalamus or other regions of the brain
131 (14, 18). Such alterations in expression of these hormones and receptors are likely to have
132 adverse effects on crosstalk between hormone systems and feedback mechanisms regulating
133 hormone secretion. However, a unique outcome of neuroendocrine EDC effects are behavioral
134 changes that interfere with reproduction. For example, in two species of songbirds, the black
135 capped chickadee (*Poecile atricapillus*) and the song sparrow (*Melospiza melodia*), non-lethal
136 exposure to PCBs is associated with variations in song that appears to be a result of direct
137 neuroendocrine modulation (19). It is reasonable to hypothesize that song variation could have
138 reproductive consequences, but the study did not investigate any such relationship. Another
139 example of how neuroendocrine disruption affects breeding behavior is that of EDC exposure in
140 two species of freshwater fish from the southeastern United States; the native blacktail shiner
141 (*Cyprinella venusta*) and the non-native, invasive red shiner (*Cyprinella lutrensis*). These species
142 can hybridize, but males and females of each species display stronger breeding preference for
143 conspecifics than heterospecifics, establishing an effective prezygotic barrier to hybridization
144 (20). In a controlled laboratory experiment, Ward and Blum (2012) (21) examined the effects of
145 BPA on mate preference in these two species and found that exposure to 1280 µg/L BPA for 14
146 days relaxed mate preference for both males and females of each shiner species. Although the
147 exact mechanisms of changes in mate discrimination are unclear, this study suggests that EDC
148 modulation of neuroendocrine function can lead to the erosion of prezygotic barriers that
149 maintain species integrity. Usually it is assumed that species loss due to EDC exposure is the
150 result of reproductive suppression or failure. However, this study highlights novel mechanisms
151 by which these chemicals can eradicate individual species and reduce overall biodiversity.

152 The majority of EDC studies in wildlife species examine the consequences of exposure as
153 it relates to alteration in gonadal structure, function (i.e., hormone production, gametogenesis) or
154 the development of secondary sex characteristics. There are a myriad of examples of such effects
155 across all vertebrate classes, of which a few classic examples are presented below. In fish,
156 exposure to both estrogenic and androgenic EDCs in wastewater and pulp mill effluents can alter
157 steroid production, cause the development of intersex gonads and lead to the development of
158 sexually dimorphic phenotypes in the inappropriate sex (22-24). Exposure of *Xenopus* tadpoles
159 to the herbicide atrazine results in the development of hermaphroditic adults and suppresses
160 production of testosterone, though whether the concentrations of atrazine at which intersex
161 occurs in laboratory studies are environmentally relevant has been the subject of much debate
162 (25, 26). In reptiles, juvenile alligators from a lake contaminated with the pesticides difocol and
163 DDT exhibit abnormal gonadal development, steroid production and reduced recruitment
164 compared to juveniles from reference lakes (27). In freshwater turtles, *in ovo* exposure to
165 environmentally relevant concentrations of certain OCPs and PCBs inhibits the process of
166 temperature dependent sex determination (28). In birds, exposure to OCPs during embryonic
167 development can lead to the development of ovarian tissue within the testis and likely decreased
168 reproductive fitness in males (29). Adult females of some avian species exposed to the DDT
169 metabolite *p,p'*-DDE (dichlorodipenyldichloroethylene) produce eggs with shells thin enough
170 to be crushed during incubation, which led to the near collapse of a number of wild bird
171 populations in the mid-1900s (30, 31). Fewer examples exist for mammalian wildlife, especially
172 with strongly supporting mechanistic data. However, elevated blubber levels of DDT and PCBs
173 in ringed seals is associated uterine pathology, suppressed reproduction and population declines
174 in heavily contaminated regions of the Baltic Sea (32). Taken together, these examples illustrate

175 that despite the varied nature of EDCs and reproductive endpoints affected, ultimately the
176 reproductive consequences of EDC exposure across vertebrates are consistent and profound.

177 Most information regarding specific mechanisms of EDC action focuses on the
178 interactions between environmental chemicals and estrogen, androgen and thyroid hormone
179 systems and the receptors that regulate them (Fig. 1). Indeed, a chemical's ability to bind and
180 either activate or antagonize a hormone receptor can result in significant physiological outcomes.
181 Quantifying EDC-receptor interactions can therefore be a useful predictor of organismal
182 responses (33). However, EDCs can disrupt numerous other processes that warrant further
183 investigation (Fig. 1; 34). For example, differences in hormone levels resulting from exposure
184 are commonly measured endpoints in EDC research. Differences in hormone concentrations can
185 result from changes in rates of hormone synthesis or hormone degradation. Unfortunately, there
186 are relatively few studies on the effects of EDCs on hormone synthesis and degradation
187 compared to investigations on EDC interactions with hormone receptors. Mechanisms by which
188 the herbicide atrazine functions as an EDC serves as an excellent example of how studying 'non-
189 traditional' endpoints of EDC action can deepen our understanding of the mechanistic effects of
190 these chemicals on wildlife. Early studies on atrazine's effects demonstrated its estrogenic
191 potential (35). However, instead direct interaction with estrogen receptors (36), atrazine's
192 estrogenic effects appear to be the result of increased endogenous estrogen concentrations the
193 expression via increased expression of aromatase (CYP19; (35)); the enzyme responsible for
194 conversion of androgens to estrogens. Atrazine-induced expression of aromatase might be
195 explained through the herbicide's direct interaction with the orphan receptor, steroidogenic
196 factor-1 (SF-1; (37)). Although the studies above elucidate a novel mechanism of EDC action,
197 they nevertheless emphasize an estrogenic response to EDCs. Although estrogenic effects have

198 dominated the EDC literature since the early 1990s, many other pathways, systems and classes of
199 EDCs are still waiting to be studied. Some potential open avenues for exploration in wildlife
200 include the effects of EDCs on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (14), the study of
201 emerging, potentially novel EDCs (38-40) and how EDCs and an organism's microbiome
202 interact to influence EDC actions (41), among others (see (34) for review).

203

204 **The study of EDC effects on endangered species**

205 The most compelling research on EDC effects on wildlife species results from the ability
206 to conduct controlled laboratory studies to generate strong causal evidence in support of
207 observations from wild populations. An excellent example of this is two studies of fathead
208 minnows (FHM; *Pimephales promelas*) living near feedlots where cattle are given androgenic
209 supplements to increase beef production. In populations of FHMs living downstream from
210 feedlots, females exhibit morphological evidence of masculinization and altered gonadal steroid
211 production compared to females living upstream (42, 43). In one study, the presence of suspected
212 androgenic EDCs was confirmed in an *in vitro* laboratory experiment by treating CV-1 cells
213 expressing human androgen receptor with water collected downstream from cattle feedlots (43).
214 In another study, Ankley and colleagues (42) focused specifically on the anabolic steroid
215 metabolite, 17- β -trenbelone. By treating laboratory-reared FHMs with environmentally relevant
216 concentrations of 17- β -trenbelone they observed evidence of masculinization of females similar
217 to observations in wild populations living downstream of feedlots (42, 43). In addition, they
218 demonstrated that 17- β -trenbelone binds the FHM androgen receptor and does so with higher
219 affinity than its endogenous ligand, testosterone. Taken together, these studies illustrate how field

220 and laboratory studies, employing sub-cellular and organismal approaches, can give clear
221 mechanistic insight to the effects of EDC exposure in wildlife species.

222 In some ways, scientists studying the effects of EDCs on endangered species face
223 challenges similar to those imposed upon researchers interested in studying the effects of EDCs
224 on humans. When working with endangered species, ethical and legal constraints result in
225 restricted access to biological samples and the types of experimental approaches that can be
226 used. In addition, where researchers focused on human health issues can rely heavily upon
227 epidemiological data, the comparatively small population sizes of endangered species and
228 restricted access to individuals in those populations limit the application of epidemiological
229 approaches. This problem is further confounded when working with a species for which little is
230 known about their basic endocrine function or reproductive physiology. As a result of the
231 challenges of working with endangered species, alternative techniques and experimental
232 approaches must be considered to gain an understanding of the potential for EDCs to
233 compromise successful reproduction.

234 The development of techniques to measure hormones in samples collected in a non-
235 invasive, or minimally invasive manner, has given rise to the field of conservation endocrinology
236 and increased our understanding of species which would otherwise remain unstudied (see (44)
237 for review; (45, 46)). One of the first examples of the application of this approach for
238 conservation purposes was the use of fecal hormone assays to determine the sex of sexually
239 monomorphic birds in an effort to enhance captive breeding efforts (47). Today, nearly 40 years
240 later, techniques that measure hormones and their metabolites have been developed and validated
241 for a variety of biological sample types (e.g., urine, feces, saliva, hair and feathers). These
242 minimally invasive approaches are the centerpiece of most endocrine studies in threatened and

243 endangered species (44, 48). Although examples are limited, applying these techniques in paired
244 field and laboratory studies to specifically evaluate changes in hormone production associated
245 with EDC exposure in wildlife appears promising (49, 50).

246 While non- and minimally-invasive methods certainly have value in broadening our
247 understanding of endocrine function in species of conservation concern, the mechanistic insight
248 they can provide into biological phenomena, such as the effects of EDC exposure, are limited.
249 Hence, there is a recognized opportunity to utilize novel approaches based on cellular and
250 molecular techniques to further our understanding of the endocrine systems in endangered
251 species (45, 46). Many of these approaches have the additional benefit of shedding light on the
252 possible effects of EDCs on endangered species without exposing organisms to potentially
253 harmful chemicals. For example, in our lab we examine interactions between suspected EDCs
254 and steroid receptors cloned from endangered species to predict mechanistic responses and
255 species sensitivity (46, 51, 52). Prior to the advent of recombinant DNA technology, such
256 approaches in an endangered species would be impossible due to the large quantities of tissue
257 and numbers of individuals needed to perform receptor binding or gene transcription studies.
258 However, now only small pieces of tissue, which can be collected opportunistically during
259 necropsies, veterinary examinations or remotely via biopsy darts, are needed to isolate sufficient
260 genetic material for receptor cloning. Moreover, as more species' genomes are sequenced (53),
261 the highly conserved nature of steroid receptors will permit identification of coding sequences *in*
262 *silico* and synthesis of recombinant receptors, thereby eliminating the need to collect tissue
263 altogether. Similar approaches could be used to isolate and/or generate sequence for potentially
264 any receptor, enzyme, or other protein suspected of being an EDC target.

265 The application of *in vitro* molecular techniques provides novel insight into how EDCs
266 may affect endangered species, but like non-invasive hormone monitoring, they are not without
267 limitations and caveats. Although they have proven useful in our efforts to study and address the
268 low fertility of female southern white rhinoceros (SWR; *Ceratotherium simum simum*) in
269 captivity (54), *in vitro*-derived results are not entirely predictive of *in vivo* responses. For
270 example, in a study investigating interactions between estrogen receptors cloned from the
271 critically endangered California condor (*Gymnogyps californianus*) and persistent
272 organochlorine contaminants, we showed that both the DDT isomer *p,p'*-DDT and *p,p'*-DDE
273 activated both estrogen receptors α and β (51). This suggests that in condors these two EDCs are
274 estrogenic and, in the case of *p,p'*-DDE which activated receptors at physiologically relevant
275 concentrations, may be disrupting endocrine function in wild birds (51, 55). However, there is
276 little evidence from *in vivo* studies in other avian species that either of these two chemicals is
277 strongly estrogenic. Conducting *in vivo* EDC-treatment studies in this species, of which there are
278 fewer than 450 living individuals, is not possible. Thus, determining if these data represent a
279 unique mechanism of EDC action, or an *in vitro* artifact, may only become clear with long term
280 population monitoring (55). Despite their shortcomings, the use of cellular and molecular
281 approaches in the field of conservation endocrinology and EDC research should serve to further
282 our understanding of endocrine function and assist efforts to conserve threatened and endangered
283 species.

284

285 **Organizational and Activational Effects of EDCs**

286 Perhaps the best-known example of the deleterious effects of EDC exposure is that of
287 diethylstilbestrol (DES). Given to women in the mid-20th century to prevent premature abortion

288 and miscarriage, this potent synthetic estrogen was later realized to have devastating effects on
289 the developing fetuses of mothers who took the drug. Although evidence suggests that DES can
290 disrupt development of fetuses of both sexes, the consequences of DES exposure are best studied
291 in females. Women whose mothers took DES (DES daughters) during their pregnancy suffer
292 from a number of pathologies including altered hormone cycles, malformations of the
293 reproductive tract and in many cases, the post-pubertal early onset of vaginal clear cell
294 adenocarcinoma (see (11, 56) for review). DES sons show an increased incidence in the
295 development of genital abnormalities, such as hypospadias (56). A similar, albeit slight, increase
296 in hypospadias has also been documented in DES grandsons, suggesting the effects of DES
297 exposure may be transgenerational (56). Similar outcomes have been proposed for DES
298 granddaughters, though more investigation is needed to confirm this hypothesis. Interestingly,
299 there is some evidence suggesting women who themselves took DES have a modest increased
300 risk of developing breast cancer, but they do not suffer from the extreme reproductive
301 impairments afflicting daughters and possibly granddaughters (56).

302 The summarized version of Paracelsus' central maxim of toxicology-*sola dosis facit*
303 *venenum*-states that *the dose makes the poison*. While this is certainly true in many regards, the
304 story of DES highlights the importance of the timing in determining the consequences of
305 exposure to EDCs. The effects of EDC exposure are typically categorized as either
306 organizational or activational, which differ from one another in terms of when exposure occurs,
307 the severity and duration of the effects, and the implications for the exposed individuals.
308 Organizational effects, like those observed in DES daughters and sons, are the result of exposure
309 to EDCs during times of critical sensitivity, such as embryogenesis or fetal development, and are
310 typically permanent (Fig. 2; 57, 58). On the other hand, activational effects are those brought on

311 by EDC exposure outside of these critical periods, resulting in effects that are typically
312 temporary. Thus, eliminating the source of exposure can be effective in mediating activational
313 outcomes of EDCs, but may not be helpful in alleviating organizational effects.

314 There are numerous examples strongly suggestive of organizational effects resulting from
315 EDC exposure in wildlife (see (58) for review). However, one of the most striking examples is
316 that of the western gull (*Larus occidentalis*) and the resulting abnormalities that were observed
317 following *in ovo* exposure to DDT (29). In the early 1970's the western gull population residing
318 in proximity to a DDT hotspot near the Channel Islands off the coast of California exhibited
319 reproductive failure. In contrast to other bird species in the same area that experienced
320 population declines due to *p,p'*-DDE associated eggshell thinning, the western gull breeding
321 failure was the result of a highly skewed sex ratio due to the absence of adult males within the
322 population. Fry and Toone (29) provide compelling evidence that this population level effect was
323 the result of feminization of male embryos by the estrogenic isomer of DDT, *o,p'*-DDT.
324 Following treatment of western gull eggs collected from non-DDT contaminated sites with
325 environmentally relevant concentrations of *o,p'*-DDT, they observed the presence of ovarian
326 tissue and oviducts in male embryos. Based on studies in other avian species, where *in ovo*
327 treatment with estrogen resulted in feminization of male behaviors, the authors suggest that
328 altered migratory behavior of male western gulls exposed to DDT could partially explain the low
329 numbers of males in the Channel Island population (29).

330 Organizational effects of EDC exposure in wildlife pose a number of challenges for the
331 species they affect and researchers attempting to study them. Most notably, the developmental
332 alterations that result from EDC exposure are often not readily observable and can therefore go
333 unnoticed for some time. In addition, because they interfere with developmental processes,

334 organizational effects are often permanent and can persist even if EDC exposure is mitigated.
335 Moreover, recent studies in laboratory species have demonstrated that organizational effects
336 resulting from developmental EDC exposure can persist for multiple generations ((59);
337 *Epigenetic effects of EDC exposure* section below). The possible long-term, irreversible
338 implications of organizational effects of EDC exposure are particularly problematic for
339 threatened and endangered species, especially if they are part of a managed breeding program
340 where reproductive success is a primary goal. Since it is difficult to know precisely the timing,
341 the extent and the type of EDC exposure in wildlife species, discrimination of observed effects as
342 organizational, activational or both can be difficult.

343 Our recent studies have addressed the effects of developmental exposure to
344 phytoestrogens in the southern white rhinoceros (SWR; *Ceratotherium simum simum*). In the
345 mid-20th century, *ex situ* captive SWR breeding programs were established in an effort to
346 conserve the species. Initial breeding efforts were largely successful, however, it soon became
347 clear that female SWR born in captivity exhibited a marked decrease in fertility compared to
348 their wild-born female counterparts (60). For decades, the specific cause of this problem
349 remained elusive, but was attributed to some unknown effect resulting from developing in
350 captivity (61). In managed settings, SWR are typically fed diets containing soy and alfalfa; plants
351 that produce high levels of phytoestrogens. Many captive SWR exhibit reproductive pathologies
352 similar to those reported in DES daughters and laboratory and livestock species consuming foods
353 rich in phytoestrogens so we focused on phytoestrogens as a likely cause of SWR fertility issues
354 (52, 62). Our hypothesis was that gestational exposure to phytoestrogens consumed through the
355 maternal diet resulted in the reproductive failure of captive-born female SWR (52, 62). The fact
356 that the dramatic decrease in fertility in captive-born females compared to wild-born females

357 persists even when captive-born females are moved to institutions feeding low phytoestrogen
358 diets points strongly to an organizational effect of phytoestrogen exposure. We therefore
359 questioned the benefit of transferring affected animals to a low phytoestrogen regimen.
360 Nevertheless, at our institution we began feeding SWR a low phytoestrogen diet and soon
361 thereafter observed three pregnancies in two females that had never reproduced before (54). This
362 finding was encouraging and suggests that the reduced fertility resulting from developmental
363 exposure to phytoestrogens may not be permanent. However, it should be noted that four female
364 SWR within our herd have failed to reproduce following the diet change, suggesting both
365 organizational and activational effects are associated with phytoestrogen exposure in SWR.
366 Thus, the relationship between organizational and activational effects of EDC exposure appears
367 to not be straightforward, and that the severity and specific outcomes within a particular species
368 are likely to vary individually.

369

370 **Recent advances in EDC research and their applicability to the study of** 371 **endangered species**

372 The development of new technologies is providing novel insight into the mechanisms of
373 EDC action. Although initially applied to common laboratory species in the context of
374 addressing human health concerns, these advances are generally applicable to the study of EDCs
375 and their effects on reproduction in most vertebrates. Although there are few instances of their
376 application explicitly to endangered species, they show a great deal of potential as a tool for
377 conservation endocrinologists and some examples are highlighted below.

378

379

380 **Epigenetic effects of EDC exposure**

381 Defined as mitotically and meiotically heritable changes to DNA that do not result in
382 alterations in DNA sequence, epigenetic modifications can have significant effects on gene
383 expression and phenotype. Epigenetic modifications are influenced by a number of
384 environmental factors including diet, social interactions and both physical and chemical
385 environmental stressors (63). The ability of EDCs to modify the epigenome is a growing area of
386 interest within the field of endocrine disruption research. One especially interesting aspect of
387 EDC-mediated effects on the epigenome is the evidence that the effects can be transgenerational,
388 suggesting that EDC exposure can affect populations long after the actual exposure occurs (59).
389 There are a number of different types of epigenetic modifications, including histone
390 modification, chromatin remodeling and non-coding RNA and DNA methylation. Of these, DNA
391 methylation is the best understood global patterns and levels of DNA methylation are generally
392 conserved across vertebrates (64). DNA methylation regulates a number of critical, wide-ranging
393 biological processes such as temperature-dependent sex determination (65, 66), reproductive
394 behaviors (67) and gamete function (68) and thus any disruption of methylation could have
395 diverse implications within and across species. However, the majority of investigations into the
396 epigenetic consequences of EDC exposure have been performed in laboratory species and few
397 studies in wildlife species are available.

398 Perhaps the best known example how EDC exposure can modify the epigenome to
399 negatively affect endocrine and reproductive function comes from laboratory studies in rodents
400 (59, 68). In male rats, exposure to the anti-androgenic fungicide vinclozolin or the estrogenic
401 pesticide methoxychlor, during the testicular development stage of fetal development results in
402 reduced sperm production and viability as adults (68). This is associated with altered DNA

403 methylation patterns within the male germ line (68), which have been shown to affect the
404 expression of genes associated with sperm function like olfactory transduction and calcium
405 signaling (69). What is most compelling, however, is that reduced testicular function is
406 maintained in the embryonically exposed male's progeny for at least three subsequent
407 generations (68). In these particular studies similar epigenetic transgenerational effects were
408 absent in female mice exposed to vinclozolin or methoxychlor *in utero* (68). However, others
409 have shown epigenetic transgenerational effects on gene expression and behavior in both sexes
410 of mice following embryonic exposure to BPA (70). Taken together, these studies and others
411 clearly demonstrate the sex specificity of epigenetic transgenerational effects resulting from
412 EDC exposure (see (59) for review).

413 EDC-mediated epigenetic effects that transcend generations could have potentially
414 profound and persistent effects on wildlife species and thus are an emerging topic of interest in
415 the field of ecotoxicology (64, 71, 72). However, examining this phenomenon is challenging in
416 wildlife species. One confounding factor is that, in contrast to laboratory studies where the
417 timing of exposure is controlled and subsequent generations can be outcrossed to non-exposed
418 individuals, it is unlikely for a single, well-defined exposure to occur in the wild. Thus, the
419 criteria required for an observed effect to be considered transgenerational are not met in many
420 cases (59, 73). In addition, unlike controlled laboratory experiments, wildlife is more likely
421 exposed to mixtures of EDCs, which are known to have additive, subtractive or synergistic
422 effects on other endpoints of EDC action (74, 75). Nonetheless, a number of studies clearly
423 demonstrate epigenetic responses in wildlife species to EDC exposure, primarily in the form of
424 alteration in patterns of methylation. For example, in alligator erythrocytes, global methylation is
425 inversely correlated with the degree of environmental mercury contamination (76). In another

426 study, alligators from lakes with varying levels and types of EDC contamination exhibited
427 alterations in the patterns of differentially methylated regions of erythrocyte DNA (77). Analysis
428 of the gene networks associated with these differentially methylated regions of DNA reveal a
429 collection of genes that regulate both endocrine and non-endocrine processes, suggesting the
430 physiological outcomes of these epigenetic response are likely diverse (77).

431 To our knowledge, there are no studies to date that explicitly address epigenetic
432 responses to EDCs in endangered species. Similar to other approaches described, conducting
433 such studies with limited access to potentially meaningful biological samples will be
434 challenging. However, methylation studies utilizing alligator erythrocytes demonstrate that
435 epigenetic signatures of EDC exposure can be identified in samples collected in a minimally
436 invasive manner. While such samples may not provide a complete understanding of the
437 reproductive consequences of exposure, they can begin to further our understanding about
438 whether particular EDC exposures act at the level of the epigenome, and may even serve as
439 useful biomarkers of exposure (75, 77). Other possible means of studying epigenetic responses to
440 EDC exposure is to make use of cells and tissues preserved from endangered species in the
441 growing number of cryobanks established in an effort to preserve biodiversity. In our lab, we
442 have utilized fibroblasts from two rhinoceros species to examine the mechanisms by which
443 phytoestrogens may affect reproduction (46). We observed that expression levels of genes
444 associated with endocrine function varied between cell lines. Although not yet assessed, it is
445 possible that the variations in gene expression are the result of differential epigenetic signatures
446 across cell lines. If so, cryobanks represent an accessible source of biological material that could
447 be used gain a better understanding of basic epigenetic information for endangered species.

448

449 **Applications of ‘-omics’**

450 The number of studies applying transcriptomic, proteomic and/or metabolomics
451 approaches (collectively referred to as ‘-omics’) to investigate the effects of EDCs on wildlife
452 species research is rapidly growing (78, 79). This is due to a number of factors that make these
453 approaches more accessible to researchers studying non-traditional species. For example, recent
454 initiatives to sequence reference genomes of thousands of species is proving largely successful
455 (53). In addition, costs associated with different ‘-omics’ approaches (e.g., high throughput
456 sequencing, non-targeted chemical analyses) are decreasing while the number of computing
457 platforms, and bioinformatics researchers, able to process large amounts of data generated are
458 growing. As a result, ‘-omics’ technologies are providing valuable and intriguing insight into the
459 effects of EDCs on wildlife and their use in the field is certain to become more prevalent.

460 Numerous studies have quantified changes in transcriptomes, using microarray or RNA-
461 seq analyses, to investigate changes in global gene expression in response to exposure to EDCs
462 and other contaminants (78-80). The use of RNA-seq, and similar non-targeted technologies, can
463 be particularly advantageous in that they eliminate potential biases inherent in the *a priori*
464 assumptions made with targeted approaches (78). This is demonstrated nicely by Martyniuk et al
465 (2016) (81), in which largemouth bass were stocked into natural ponds containing high levels of
466 organochlorine pesticides near Lake Apopka, FL. Compared to control fish, those inhabiting the
467 Lake Apopka site showed elevated levels of organochlorine pesticides in their tissues and lower
468 circulating levels of 17 β -E₂ (81). In contrast, the authors observed no differences between
469 control and exposed fish in two other endpoints traditionally used to identify EDC exposure;
470 gonadosomatic index and vitellogenin production. When characterizing differences in ovarian
471 gene expression using RNA-seq, however, the authors discovered deregulation of gene networks

472 associated with reproductive and immune function, which paralleled findings from more
473 controlled laboratory studies (81). Thus, when assessment of traditional endpoints fail to detect
474 an effect of exposure to EDCs, non-targeted approaches like transcriptome profiling may
475 demonstrate otherwise, and highlight other endpoints for future study.

476 Transcriptomic approaches are certainly informative and becoming more feasible to
477 conduct, but they are not without limitations. Namely, levels of gene expression may not
478 necessarily correlate well with expression levels of the proteins they encode (82). Consequently,
479 a putative physiological response to EDC exposure may not actually occur. Proteomic
480 approaches, therefore, may provide a clearer understanding of the physiological pathways and
481 processes altered by EDC exposure. Similar to transcriptomic approaches, proteomic studies can
482 be non-targeted, though a lack of well-annotated genomes for non-traditional species can make
483 protein identification challenging (78). Furthermore, like genomes and transcriptomes, the
484 proteins comprising the proteome vary in sequence across species, potentially limiting the use of
485 commercially available tools (e.g., antibodies) in non-traditional species.

486 Studying the metabolome potentially alleviates the species-specific limitations of
487 transcriptomic and proteomic approaches. The metabolome is the collection of biomolecules
488 (e.g., amino acids, lipids, carbohydrates, etc.) within a biological sample associated with various
489 metabolic processes, which in many cases are identical across diverse species. These approaches
490 couple chromatography and mass spectrometry to quantify the biochemical milieu that ultimately
491 results from changes in transcriptomes, proteomes and other biological responses. This coupled
492 with their ability to directly measure altered physiological pathways and processes, makes
493 metabolic approaches attractive techniques for the study of EDCs effects on wildlife species.
494 However, as is becoming clear, data generated from ‘-omics’ studies possesses a higher degree of

495 variability that may be overlooked by measuring traditional endpoints (79). This can be the result
496 of biological variation such as differences in season, inherent genetic variability within a
497 population, or exposure to dynamic and complex mixtures of EDCs, for example. Technical
498 variation associated with the collection and preparation of samples, sample size and analysis
499 pipelines used can also contribute variability to generated data (79, 83). Thus, care should be
500 taken with regard to experimental design and statistical analyses to reduce variability and
501 subsequently improve the utility of ‘-omics’ approaches.

502 Although each ‘-omics’ approach has its own strengths and weaknesses as detailed above,
503 their utility in wildlife studies is also dictated in part by the nature of the species being studied.
504 Currently, the literature regarding the effects of EDCs via tissue specific transcriptome analyses
505 is dominated by investigations in fish, presumably due to their relative small size, ease of capture
506 and the fact that they can also be maintained in laboratory studies (78, 79). There are also a few
507 reports in amphibians examining alterations in gene expression following exposure to
508 pharmaceuticals that act as EDCs using RNA-seq or microarray methods. In male western
509 clawed frogs (*Silurana tropicalis*), exposure to the 5 α -reductase inhibitor, finasteride, results in
510 increased testosterone levels and alterations in gene networks involved in meiosis, hormone
511 production and GnRH function (84). In another study, treatment of American bullfrog (*Rana*
512 *catesbeiana*) tadpoles with environmentally relevant concentrations of ibuprofen reprogrammed
513 the liver transcriptome via alteration of the thyroid hormone signaling pathway, which the
514 authors suggest may contribute to altered post-embryonic development (85). More recently,
515 RNA-seq has been employed to examine the effects of developmental exposure to BPA and
516 ethinyl estradiol (EE₂) on behavior and gene expression in the whole brain and the hypothalamus
517 in painted turtles (*Chrysemys picta*) and California mice (*Peromyscus californicus*), respectively

518 (86, 87). Compared to transcriptomic studies, the number of EDC-focused studies on wildlife
519 species that employ proteomic or metabolomics approaches are relatively few and primarily
520 conducted in fish. However, some of these studies highlight an attractive aspect of proteomic and
521 metabolic approaches, which is the utilization samples that can be easily collected in a
522 minimally-invasive manner (78, 79). An excellent example of this is the recent study by Collette
523 et al. (2016) (88) in which researchers identified changes in fatty acid composition in only 5-10
524 μL of urine collected from fathead minnows exposed to the anti-androgens vinclozolin or
525 flutamide.

526 The application of genomic analyses to the study of threatened and endangered species is
527 widespread, and has provided valuable insight into adaptation of populations to local
528 environments, inbreeding depression, disease susceptibility and overall species fitness (89). In
529 contrast, there are few examples of the application of transcriptomic, proteomic and
530 metabolomics approaches to the study of endangered species, particularly with regard to the
531 effects of EDC exposure. The studies above, however, demonstrate the versatility of ‘-omics’
532 approaches and creatively applying them to the study of endangered species could prove
533 extremely valuable. For example, RNA-seq studies could be performed on cryobanked fibroblast
534 cell lines to provide useful gene sequence and expression information for a particular species. In
535 previous studies, the expression of endocrine-related genes, including steroid hormone receptors,
536 has been demonstrated (46). Thus, treatment of fibroblasts with suspected EDCs, followed by
537 non-targeted RNA-seq may identify changes in gene expression and potentially could be used as
538 species-specific experimental *in vitro* model of EDC action. The recent use of proteomic and
539 metabolomics approaches in small amounts of easily collected biological samples such as saliva,
540 urine and feces is also encouraging. For decades, endocrine research on endangered species has

541 relied almost exclusively on measuring hormones in these types of readily available samples.
542 This provides an attractive opportunity to apply these techniques to threatened and endangered
543 species to gain a better understanding of their physiology, particularly as it relates to response to
544 EDC exposure.

545

546 **Conclusions**

547 There are undoubtedly a great number of challenges associated with studying the impacts
548 of EDCs on reproduction of endangered species. However, as evidenced from the scant literature
549 available on the subject, it is an area of research with excellent potential for growth.

550 Furthermore, the growing human population, accelerated habitat loss and other factors are
551 contributing to an increase in the number of species listed as threatened or endangered that will
552 require human intervention to ensure their sustainability. The reliance upon non-invasive sample
553 collection to study endocrine function of endangered species is not likely to change. Thus, the
554 creative development of new technologies and integrative application of novel approaches will
555 be needed to advance our knowledge in this area of research. If this is accomplished, we stand to
556 gain clearer insight into the mechanisms by which EDCs act on endangered species, and
557 ultimately may begin to mitigate the reproductive impacts of EDCs on endangered animal
558 species through better-informed policy decisions.

559

560 **Acknowledgements**

561 We are especially grateful for the helpful comments and suggestions from Matthew
562 Milnes, Barbara Durrant, Tomas Jensen and Rachel Felton regarding the manuscript. Abby
563 Goldstein provided invaluable design expertise and assistance in making the figures.

564

565 **Figure 1.** Activational effects and mechanisms of exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals
566 (EDCs). Endogenous hormone synthesis, activity, and excretion are regulated by the
567 hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis. Each of these tissues is a potential target of EDC
568 exposure. Through a variety of potential mechanisms (blue), EDCs can disrupt the coordination
569 of enzymes, binding proteins, receptors and gene expression involved in the production and
570 regulation of hormones including gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH), follicle stimulating
571 hormone (FSH), luteinizing hormone (LH), progesterone (P₄), and estrogen (E₂). The full range
572 of phenotypic impacts of EDC exposure through these mechanisms is largely uncharacterized,
573 nevertheless some established reproductive abnormalities are highlighted in green.

574

575 **Figure 2.** Organizational effects of exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals. EDC exposure
576 can be particularly impactful during development. These organizational effects can influence the
577 sex-specific development of reproductive and neuroendocrine tissues, and result in permanent
578 abnormalities in hormone production and reproductive function, which are shown in green.

579

580

581 **Literature Cited**

- 582 1. Carson R. 1962. *Silent Spring*: Fawcett, Greenwich CT USA
- 583 2. Christie, DA, Tassej, EM (eds). 2004. Environmental Toxicology: The legacy of Silent
584 Spring. In *Wellcome Witnesses to Twentieth Century Medicine*, London: Wellcome Trust
585 Centre for the History of Medicine at University College London 19: 1-132
- 586 3. Colborn T, Dumanoski D, Myers JP. 1997. *Our Stolen Future: Are We Threatening Our*
587 *Fertility, Intelligence, and Survival? A Scientific Detective Story*: Plume, New York, New
588 York USA
- 589 4. Colborn T, Clement C. 1992. *Chemically-induced Alterations in Sexual and Functional*
590 *Development: The Wildlife/Human Connection*: Princeton Scientific Publishing
591 Company, Princeton, New Jersey USA
- 592 5. United States Environmental Protection Agency. 2015. Endocrine Disruptor Screening
593 Program (EDSP) Estrogen Receptor Bioactivity. [https://www.epa.gov/endocrine-](https://www.epa.gov/endocrine-disruption/endocrine-disruptor-screening-program-edsp-estrogen-receptor-bioactivity-main-content)
594 [disruption/endocrine-disruptor-screening-program-edsp-estrogen-receptor-bioactivity -](https://www.epa.gov/endocrine-disruption/endocrine-disruptor-screening-program-edsp-estrogen-receptor-bioactivity-main-content)
595 [main-content](https://www.epa.gov/endocrine-disruption/endocrine-disruptor-screening-program-edsp-estrogen-receptor-bioactivity-main-content)
- 596 6. United States Environmental Protection Agency. 2015. Endocrine Disruptor Screening
597 Program Tier 1 Screening Determinations and Associated Data Evaluation Records.
598 [https://www.epa.gov/endocrine-disruption/endocrine-disruptor-screening-program-tier-1-](https://www.epa.gov/endocrine-disruption/endocrine-disruptor-screening-program-tier-1-screening-determinations-and)
599 [screening-determinations-and](https://www.epa.gov/endocrine-disruption/endocrine-disruptor-screening-program-tier-1-screening-determinations-and)
- 600 7. Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Bourguignon J-P, Giudice LC, Hauser R, Prins GS, et al. 2009.
601 Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals: An Endocrine Society Scientific Statement. *Endocrine*
602 *Reviews* 30: 293-342

- 603 8. Bergman A, Heindel JJ, Jobling S, Kidd KA, Zoeller RT (eds). 2013. *State of the Science*
604 *of Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals 2012: Summary for Decision-Makers*, United Nations
605 Environment Programme World Health Organization: 1-296
- 606 9. Gore AC, Chappell VA, Fenton SE, Flaws JA, Nadal A, et al. 2015. EDC-2: The
607 endocrine society's second scientific statement on endocrine-disrupting chemicals.
608 *Endocrine Reviews* 36: E1-E150
- 609 10. Hotchkiss AK, Rider CV, Blystone CR, Wilson VS, Hartig PC, et al. 2008. Fifteen years
610 after “Wingspread”—Environmental endocrine disrupters and human and wildlife health:
611 where we are today and where we need to go. *Toxicological Sciences* 105: 235-59
- 612 11. McLachlan JA. 2016. Environmental signaling: from environmental estrogens to
613 endocrine-disrupting chemicals and beyond. *Andrology*: n/a-n/a
- 614 12. Colborn T, vom Saal FS, Soto AM. 1993. Developmental effects of endocrine-disrupting
615 chemicals in wildlife and humans. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 101: 378-84
- 616 13. Oberdörster E, Cheek AO. 2001. Gender benders at the beach: Endocrine disruption in
617 marine and estuarine organisms. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 20: 23-36
- 618 14. León-Olea M, Martyniuk CJ, Orlando EF, Ottinger MA, Rosenfeld CS, et al. 2014.
619 Current concepts in neuroendocrine disruption. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*
620 203: 158-73
- 621 15. Jefferson WN, Patisaul HB, Williams CJ. 2012. Reproductive consequences of
622 developmental phytoestrogen exposure. *Reproduction* 143: 247-60
- 623 16. Piazza YG, Pandolfi M, Lo Nostro FL. 2011. Effect of the organochlorine pesticide
624 endosulfan on gnrh and gonadotrope cell populations in fish larvae. *Archives of*
625 *Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 61: 300-10
- 626 17. Qin F, Wang L, Wang X, Liu S, Xu P, et al. 2013. Bisphenol A affects gene expression
627 of gonadotropin-releasing hormones and type I GnRH receptors in brains of adult rare
628 minnow *Gobiocypris rarus*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C:*
629 *Toxicology & Pharmacology* 157: 192-202
- 630 18. Patisaul HB, Whitten PL, Young LJ. 1999. Regulation of estrogen receptor beta mRNA
631 in the brain: opposite effects of 17β-estradiol and the phytoestrogen, coumestrol.
632 *Molecular Brain Research* 67: 165-71
- 633 19. DeLeon S, Halitschke R, Hames RS, Kessler A, DeVoogd TJ, Dhondt AA. 2013. The
634 effect of polychlorinated biphenyls on the song of two passerine species. *PLOS ONE* 8:
635 e73471
- 636 20. Blum MJ, Walters DM, Burkhead NM, Freeman BJ, Porter BA. 2010. Reproductive
637 isolation and the expansion of an invasive hybrid swarm. *Biological Invasions* 12: 2825-
638 36
- 639 21. Ward JL, Blum MJ. 2012. Exposure to an environmental estrogen breaks down sexual
640 isolation between native and invasive species. *Evolutionary Applications* 5: 901-12
- 641 22. Jobling S, Sumpter JP, Sheahan D, Osborne JA, Matthiessen P. 1996. Inhibition of
642 testicular growth in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) exposed to estrogenic
643 alkylphenolic chemicals. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 15: 194-202
- 644 23. Parks LG, Lambright CS, Orlando EF, Guillette JLJ, Ankley GT, Gray JLE. 2001.
645 Masculinization of female mosquitofish in kraft mill effluent-contaminated fenholloway
646 river water is associated with androgen receptor agonist activity. *Toxicological Sciences*
647 62: 257-67

- 648 24. Purdom C, Hardiman P, Bye V, Eno N, Tyler CR, Sumpter JP. 1994. Estrogenic effects
649 of effluents from sewage treatment works. *Chemistry and Ecology* 8: 275-85
- 650 25. Carr JA, Gentles A, Smith EE, Goleman WL, Urquidi LJ, et al. 2003. Response of larval
651 *Xenopus laevis* to atrazine: Assessment of growth, metamorphosis, and gonadal and
652 laryngeal morphology. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 22: 396-405
- 653 26. Hayes TB, Collins A, Lee M, Mendoza M, Noriega N, et al. 2002. Hermaphroditic,
654 demasculinized frogs after exposure to the herbicide atrazine at low ecologically relevant
655 doses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99: 5476-80
- 656 27. Guillette LJ, Gross TS, Masson GR, Matter JM, Percival HF, Woodward AR. 1994.
657 Developmental abnormalities of the gonad and abnormal sex hormone concentrations in
658 juvenile alligators from contaminated and control lakes in Florida. *Environmental Health
659 Perspectives* 102: 680-88
- 660 28. Willingham E, Crews D. 1999. Sex reversal effects of environmentally relevant
661 xenobiotic concentrations on the red-eared slider turtle, a species with temperature-
662 dependent sex determination. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 113: 429-35
- 663 29. Fry DM, Toone CK. 1981. DDT-induced feminization of gull embryos. *Science* 213:
664 922-24
- 665 30. Schreiber RW, Risebrough RW. 1972. Studies of the brown pelican. *Wilson Bulletin* 84:
666 119-35
- 667 31. Gress F, Risebrough R, Anderson DW, Kiff LF, Jehl JRJ. 1973. Reproductive failure of
668 double-crested cormorants in southern California and Baja California. *Wilson Bulletin* 85:
669 197-208
- 670 32. Helle E, Olsson M. 1976. PCB levels correlated with pathological changes in seal uteri.
671 *Ambio* 5: 261-63
- 672 33. Kohno S, Katsu Y, Iguchi T, Guillette LJ, Jr. 2008. Novel approaches for the study of
673 vertebrate steroid hormone receptors. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 48: 527-34
- 674 34. Guillette LJ. 2006. Endocrine disrupting contaminants—beyond the dogma.
675 *Environmental Health Perspectives* 114: 9-12
- 676 35. Sanderson JT, Seinen W, Giesy JP, van den Berg M. 2000. 2-chloro-s-triazine herbicides
677 induce aromatase (CYP19) activity in h295r human adrenocortical carcinoma cells: a
678 novel mechanism for estrogenicity? *Toxicological Sciences* 54: 121-27
- 679 36. Connor K, Howell J, Chen I, Liu H, Berhane K, et al. 1996. Failure of chloro-s-triazine-
680 derived compounds to induce estrogen receptor-mediated responses in vivo and in vitro.
681 *Fundamental and Applied Toxicology* 30: 93-101
- 682 37. Fan W, Yanase T, Morinaga H, Gondo S, Okabe T, et al. 2007. Atrazine-Induced
683 Aromatase Expression Is SF-1 Dependent: Implications for Endocrine Disruption in
684 Wildlife and Reproductive Cancers in Humans. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 115:
685 720-27
- 686 38. Hamlin HJ, Edwards TM, McCoy J, Cruze L, Guillette Jr LJ. 2016. Environmentally
687 relevant concentrations of nitrate increase plasma testosterone concentrations in female
688 American alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*). *General and Comparative
689 Endocrinology* 238: 55-60
- 690 39. Letcher RJ, Bustnes JO, Dietz R, Jenssen BM, Jørgensen EH, et al. 2010. Exposure and
691 effects assessment of persistent organohalogen contaminants in arctic wildlife and fish.
692 *Science of The Total Environment* 408: 2995-3043

- 693 40. Mathieu-Denoncourt J, Wallace SJ, de Solla SR, Langlois VS. 2015. Plasticizer
694 endocrine disruption: highlighting developmental and reproductive effects in mammals
695 and non-mammalian aquatic species. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 219: 74-
696 88
- 697 41. Baker M. 2014. The microbiome as a target for endocrine disruptors: Novel chemicals
698 may disrupt androgen and microbiome-mediated autoimmunity. *Endocrine Disruptors* 2:
699 e964539
- 700 42. Ankley GT, Jensen KM, Makynen EA, Kahl MD, Korte JJ, et al. 2003. Effects of the
701 androgenic growth promoter 17- β -trenbolone on fecundity and reproductive
702 endocrinology of the fathead minnow. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 22:
703 1350-60
- 704 43. Orlando EF, Kolok AS, Binzick GA, Gates JL, Horton MK, et al. 2004. Endocrine-
705 disrupting effects of cattle feedlot effluent on an aquatic sentinel species, the fathead
706 minnow. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 112: 353-58
- 707 44. Kersey DC, Dehnhard M. 2014. The use of noninvasive and minimally invasive methods
708 in endocrinology for threatened mammalian species conservation. *General and*
709 *Comparative Endocrinology* 203: 296-306
- 710 45. McCormick SD, Romero JM. 2017. Conservation Endocrinology. *Bioscience: in press*
- 711 46. Tubbs C, McDonough CE, Felton R, Milnes MR. 2014. Advances in conservation
712 endocrinology: The application of molecular approaches to the conservation of
713 endangered species. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*
- 714 47. Czekala NM, Lasley BL. 1977. A technical note on sex determination in monomorphic
715 birds using faecal steroid analysis. *International Zoo Yearbook* 17: 209-11
- 716 48. Ganswindt A, Brown JL, Freeman EW, Kouba AJ, Penfold LM, et al. 2012. International
717 Society for Wildlife Endocrinology: the future of endocrine measures for reproductive
718 science, animal welfare and conservation biology. *Biology Letters* 8: 695-97
- 719 49. Adams EM, Frederick PC, Larkin ILV, Guillette LJ. 2009. Sublethal effects of
720 methylmercury on fecal metabolites of testosterone, estradiol, and corticosterone in
721 captive juvenile white ibises (*Eudocimus albus*). *Environmental Toxicology and*
722 *Chemistry* 28: 982-89
- 723 50. Jayasena N, Frederick PC, Larkin ILV. 2011. Endocrine disruption in white ibises
724 (*Eudocimus albus*) caused by exposure to environmentally relevant levels of
725 methylmercury. *Aquatic Toxicology* 105: 321-27
- 726 51. Felton RG, Steiner CC, Durrant BS, Keisler DH, Milnes MR, Tubbs CW. 2015.
727 Identification of California condor estrogen receptors 1 and 2 and their activation by
728 endocrine disrupting chemicals. *Endocrinology* 156: 4448-57
- 729 52. Tubbs C, Hartig P, Cardon M, Varga N, Milnes M. 2012. Activation of southern white
730 rhinoceros (*Ceratotherium simum simum*) estrogen receptors by phytoestrogens: potential
731 role in the reproductive failure of captive-born females? *Endocrinology* 153: 1444-52
- 732 53. Koepfli K-P, Paten B, O'Brien SJ. 2015. The Genome 10K Project: a way forward.
733 *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences* 3: 57-111
- 734 54. Tubbs C, Durrant B, Milnes MR. 2017. Reconsidering the use of soy and alfalfa in
735 southern white rhinoceros diets. *Pachyderm: in press*
- 736 55. Tubbs C. 2016. California condors and DDT: Examining the effects of endocrine
737 disrupting chemicals in a critically endangered species. *Endocrine Disruptors* 4:
738 e1173766

- 739 56. Reed CE, Fenton SE. 2013. Exposure to diethylstilbestrol during sensitive life stages: a
740 legacy of heritable health effects. *Birth Defects Research, Part C, Embryo today:*
741 *Reviews* 99: 134-146
- 742 57. Adams NR. 1995. Organizational and activational effects of phytoestrogens on the
743 reproductive tract of the ewe. *Proceedings of the Society Experimental Biology Medicine*
744 208: 87-91
- 745 58. Guillette LJ, Crain DA, Rooney AA, Pickford DB. 1995. Organization versus activation:
746 the role of endocrine-disrupting contaminants (EDCs) during embryonic development in
747 wildlife. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 103: 157-64
- 748 59. Skinner MK. 2014. Endocrine Disruptor Induction of Epigenetic Transgenerational
749 Inheritance of Disease. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* 398: 4-12
- 750 60. Emslie R, Brooks M. 1999. African rhino status survey and conservation action plan.
751 *IUCN*
- 752 61. Swaisgood RR, Dickman DM, White AM. 2006. A captive population in crisis: testing
753 hypotheses for reproductive failure in captive-born southern white rhinoceros females.
754 *Biological Conservation* 129: 468-76
- 755 62. Tubbs CW, Moley LA, Ivy JA, Metrione LC, LaClaire S, et al. 2016. Estrogenicity of
756 captive southern white rhinoceros diets and their association with fertility. *General and*
757 *Comparative Endocrinology* 238: 32-38
- 758 63. Skinner MK, Guerrero-Bosagna C. 2009. Environmental signals and transgenerational
759 epigenetics. *Epigenomics* 1: 111-17
- 760 64. Head JA. 2014. Patterns of DNA Methylation in Animals: An Ecotoxicological
761 Perspective. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 54: 77-86
- 762 65. Matsumoto Y, Buemio A, Chu R, Vafae M, Crews D. 2013. Epigenetic Control of
763 Gonadal Aromatase (cyp19a1) in Temperature-Dependent Sex Determination of Red-
764 Eared Slider Turtles. *PLOS ONE* 8: e63599
- 765 66. Parrott BB, Kohno S, Cloy-McCoy JA, Guillette JLJ. 2014. Differential incubation
766 temperatures result in dimorphic DNA methylation patterning of the SOX9 and
767 aromatase promoters in gonads of alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*) Embryos. *Biology*
768 *of Reproduction* 90: 2, 1-11
- 769 67. Kucharski R, Maleszka J, Foret S, Maleszka R. 2008. Nutritional control of reproductive
770 status in honeybees via DNA methylation. *Science* 319: 1827
- 771 68. Anway MD, Cupp AS, Uzumcu M, Skinner MK. 2005. Epigenetic transgenerational
772 actions of endocrine disruptors and male fertility. *Science* 308: 1466
- 773 69. Skinner MK, Haque CG-BM, Nilsson E, Bhandari R, McCarrey JR. 2013.
774 Environmentally induced transgenerational epigenetic reprogramming of primordial germ
775 cells and the subsequent germ line. *PLOS ONE* 8: e66318
- 776 70. Wolstenholme JT, Edwards M, Shetty SRJ, Gatewood JD, Taylor JA, et al. 2012.
777 Gestational exposure to Bisphenol A produces transgenerational changes in behaviors
778 and gene expression. *Endocrinology* 153: 3828-38
- 779 71. Head JA, Dolinoy DC, Basu N. 2012. Epigenetics for ecotoxicologists. *Environmental*
780 *Toxicology and Chemistry* 31: 221-27
- 781 72. Vandegehuchte MB, Janssen CR. 2011. Epigenetics and its implications for
782 ecotoxicology. *Ecotoxicology* 20: 607-24

- 783 73. Schwindt AR. 2015. Parental effects of endocrine disrupting compounds in aquatic
784 wildlife: Is there evidence of transgenerational inheritance? *General and Comparative*
785 *Endocrinology* 219: 152-64
- 786 74. Kortenkamp A. 2007. Ten years of mixing cocktails: a review of combination effects of
787 endocrine-disrupting chemicals. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 115: 98-105
- 788 75. Manikkam M, Guerrero-Bosagna C, Tracey R, Haque MM, Skinner MK. 2012.
789 Transgenerational actions of environmental compounds on reproductive disease and
790 identification of epigenetic biomarkers of ancestral exposures. *PLOS ONE* 7: e31901
- 791 76. Nilsen FM, Parrott BB, Bowden JA, Kassim BL, Somerville SE, et al. 2016. Global DNA
792 methylation loss associated with mercury contamination and aging in the American
793 alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*). *Science of the Total Environment* 545–546: 389-97
- 794 77. Guillette Jr LJ, Parrott BB, Nilsson E, Haque MM, Skinner MK. 2016. Epigenetic
795 programming alterations in alligators from environmentally contaminated lakes. *General*
796 *and Comparative Endocrinology* 238: 4-12
- 797 78. Martyniuk CJ, Simmons DB. 2016. Spotlight on environmental omics and toxicology: a
798 long way in a short time. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics*
799 *and Proteomics* 19: 97-101
- 800 79. Simmons DBD, Benskin JP, Cosgrove JR, Duncker BP, Ekman DR, et al. 2015. Omics
801 for aquatic ecotoxicology: Control of extraneous variability to enhance the analysis of
802 environmental effects. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 34: 1693-704
- 803 80. Baker ME, Hardiman G. 2014. Transcriptional analysis of endocrine disruption using
804 zebrafish and massively parallel sequencing. *Journal of molecular endocrinology* 52:
805 R241-R56
- 806 81. Martyniuk CJ, Doperalski NJ, Prucha MS, Zhang J-L, Kroll KJ, et al. 2016. High
807 contaminant loads in Lake Apopka's riparian wetland disrupt gene networks involved in
808 reproduction and immune function in largemouth bass. *Comparative Biochemistry and*
809 *Physiology Part D: Genomics and Proteomics* 19: 140-50
- 810 82. Maier T, Güell M, Serrano L. 2009. Correlation of mRNA and protein in complex
811 biological samples. *FEBS Letters* 583: 3966-73
- 812 83. Martyniuk CJ, Houlahan J. 2013. Assessing gene network stability and individual
813 variability in the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) transcriptome. *Comparative*
814 *Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics and Proteomics* 8: 283-91
- 815 84. Bissegger S, Martyniuk CJ, Langlois VS. 2014. Transcriptomic profiling in *Silurana*
816 *tropicalis* testes exposed to finasteride. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 203:
817 137-45
- 818 85. Veldhoen N, Skirrow RC, Brown LLY, van Aggelen G, Helbing CC. 2014. Effects of
819 acute exposure to the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug ibuprofen on the developing
820 north american bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*) tadpole. *Environmental Science &*
821 *Technology* 48: 10439-47
- 822 86. Johnson SA, Spollen WG, Manshack LK, Bivens NJ, Givan SA, Rosenfeld CS. 2017.
823 Hypothalamic transcriptomic alterations in male and female California mice (*Peromyscus*
824 *californicus*) developmentally exposed to bisphenol A or ethinyl estradiol. *Physiological*
825 *Reports* 5: e13133
- 826 87. Manshack LK, Conard CM, Bryan SJ, Deem SL, Holliday DK, et al. 2017.
827 Transcriptomic alterations in the brain of painted turtles (*Chrysemys picta*)

- 828 developmentally exposed to bisphenol A or ethinyl estradiol. *Physiological Genomics* 49:
829 201
- 830 88. Collette TW, Skelton DM, Davis JM, Cavallin JE, Jensen KM, et al. 2016. Metabolite
831 profiles of repeatedly sampled urine from male fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*)
832 contain unique lipid signatures following exposure to anti-androgens. *Comparative*
833 *Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics and Proteomics* 19: 190-98
- 834 89. Steiner CC, Putnam AS, Hoeck PEA, Ryder OA. 2013. Conservation genomics of
835 threatened animal species. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences* 1: 261-81